

Roy F. Weston, Inc Suite 5700 700 5th Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104-5057 206-521-7600 • Fax 206-521-7601

## **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:

2 December 1998

TO:

David Bennett, WAM, U.S. EPA, Region X

FROM:

Michelle Turner, Chemist, WESTON, Seattle

Roger McGinnis, Senior Environmental Chemist, WESTON, Seattle

SUBJECT:

Validation of Chlorinated Pesticide Data

Laboratory Batch: K9805617

Site: Duwamish River

WORK ASSIGNMENT NO: 46-23-0JZZ

WORK ORDER NO.:

4000-019-038-5200-00

DOC. CONTROL NO.:

4000-019-038-AAAK

cc:

Bruce Woods, RAP-WAM, U.S. EPA, Region X

Dena Hughes, Site Manager, WESTON, Seattle (memo only)

Kevin Mundell-Jackson, Database Management, WESTON, Seattle

The quality assurance review of one sediment sample, laboratory batch K9805617, collected from the Duwamish River has been completed. Samples were analyzed for chlorinated pesticides by Columbia Analytical Services of Kelso, Washington using EPA Method 8081. The samples were numbered:

98344065

## **Data Qualifications**

The following comments refer to the laboratory performance in meeting the quality control criteria described in the technical specifications of the laboratory subcontract. The review follows the format described in the *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review* (EPA OSWER Directive 9240 1-05, February 1994).



Site. Duwamish River

Page 2

## 1 Timeliness

All samples met holding time criteria of 14 days for sample extraction and 40 additional days for extract analysis

## 2. GC/ECD Instrument Performance

i) Retention Time Windows

Retention times of all pesticides were within windows calculated from the initial calibration

ii) DDT/Endrin Breakdown

The percent breakdown for 4,4'-DDT and Endrin was less than 20 percent for each compound and combined breakdown was less than 30-percent on both GC columns.

## 3. Initial Calibration

a) Individual Standard Mixtures

Retention time windows were calculated correctly.

Appropriate standards concentrations were used and peak heights of 50 to 100 percent of full scale were obtained.

Calibration factor percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) met QC criteria of 20 percent for pesticides and 30 percent for surrogates.

## 4. Calibration Verification

Instrument blanks and PEM samples were analyzed at the proper frequency.

The difference between actual and calculated concentrations of individual pesticides was within QC criteria of  $\pm 25$  percent.



QA Review Batch K9805617 (Chlorinated Pesticides) Site<sup>-</sup> Duwamish River Page 3

## 5 Detection Limits

Instrument detection limits met project required quantitation limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	QL Goal (µg/Kg)	Reported QL (µg/Kg)
98344065	Heptachlor Epoxide	1	10
98344065	gamma-Chlordane	1	10
98344065	Endosulfan I	1	10
98344065	alpha-Chlordane	1	25
98344065	Dieldrın	2	20
98344065	DDE	1	15
98344065	Endrin	2	20
98344065	Endosulfan II	2	110
98344065	DDD	2	20
98344065	Endrın Aldehyde	2	20
98344065	Endosulfan Sulfate	2	20
98344065	DDT	2	40
98344065	Endrın Ketone	2	20
98344065	Methoxychlor	1	10
98344065	Toxaphene	10	100

Where quantitation limit goals were exceeded, undetected analytes were qualified (UI) to indicate matrix interference.

## 6. Blanks

# a) Laboratory Method Blanks

Laboratory method blank frequency criteria were met.



Site: Duwamish River

Page 4

No target analytes were reported in laboratory method blanks.

# b) Field Blanks

No field blanks were associated with this laboratory batch.

# 7. System Monitoring Compounds (Surrogates)

Surrogate compound percent recovery met quality control criteria (P-project, L-laboratory) for all samples except

Sample	Compound	Percent Recovery	QC Limits
KK980821-LCS	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	18	30-150 (P) 20-107 (L)

Surrogate recoveries for all associated samples were within both project and laboratory QC limits. Decachlorobiphenyl in the LCS was within QC limits. No qualifiers were based solely on the LCS surrogate recovery.

# 8. Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate

Matrix spike (MS) or matrix spike duplicate (MSD) percent recovery for the following compounds were outside QC guidelines (P-project, L-laboratory).

Sample	Compound	Percent Recovery	QC Limits
K9805545-006DMS (Batch QC)	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	40	46-127 (P) 28-123 (L)
K9805545-006DMS (Batch QC)	Aldrın	30	34-132 (P) 33-114 (L)
K9805545-006DMS (Batch QC)	Endrin	30	42-139 (P) 39-130 (L)

Relative percent differences (RPD) between the MS and MSD percent recoveries met QC guidelines No action was taken based solely on MS/MSD data.



Site: Duwamish River

Page 5

# 9. Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

The following compounds were outside the QC guidelines (P-project, L-laboratory)

Sample	Compound	Percent Recovery	QC Limits
K980821-LCS	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	56	70-130 (P) 40-124 (L)
K980821-LCS	Heptachlor	56	70-130 (P) 40-117 (L)
K980821-LCS	Aldrin	56	70-130 (P) 43-108 (L)
K980821-LCS	Dieldrin	56	70-130 (P) 42-127 (L)
K980821-LCS	Endrin	67	70-130 (P) 46-123 (L)

Results for compounds listed above were qualified as estimated (J) Undetected analytes were also qualified as estimated (UJ).

# 10. Field Duplicate Analysis

No field duplicate samples were associated with this sample delivery group.

## 11 Second Column Confirmation

The relative percent difference (RPD) in reported analyte concentration was greater than 35 percent for the primary and confirmation column for the following samples:

Sample Number	Compound	DB-5 Conc	DB-1701 Conc	RPD	
98344065	Aldrin	ND	13 65	NA	
98344065	DDE	ND	407	NA	
98344065	Endosulfan I	350	ND	NA	
98344065	Endnn	339	ND	NA	
98344065	Methoxychlor	285	ND	NA	



QA Review Batch K9805617 (Chlorinated Pesticides) Site. Duwamish River Page 6

Differences can arise from analytical interferences on one column. However, the relative percent differences are not deemed significant at the reported concentrations. The lower concentration was reported for each analyte.

# 12. Sample Analysis

A cursory review of raw data was performed. All laboratory deliverables were present and complete. A duplicate analysis of Batch QC sample K9805545-006 was analyzed; all RPDs were less than 35 percent. The case narrative indicated that the TCMX surrogate recovery for the LCS was outside the QC limits. As all other QC results associated with this SDG were within QC limits, no action was taken. The MSD results for Aldrin and Endrin were outside the laboratory QC limits because of suspected matrix interference. As the MS and LCS results were within the laboratory QC limits, no action was taken. No other unusual problems were noted

# 13. Laboratory Contact

No laboratory contact was required.

#### Data Assessment

Upon consideration of the data qualifications noted above, the data are ACCEPTABLE for use except where flagged with data qualifiers that modify the usefulness of the individual values.

# **Data Qualifiers**

- U The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected.
- UJ The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated quantitation limit is an estimate because quality control criteria were not met.
- The analyte was positively identified, but the associated numerical value is an estimated quantity because quality control criteria were not met or because concentrations reported are less then CRDL or lowest calibration standard
- R Quality control indicates that data are unusable (compound may or may not be present).
  Resampling and reanalysis are necessary for verification



Site: Duwamish River

Page 7

N - Presumptive evidence of presence of material (tentative identification)

I - Elevated reporting limit due to matrix interference.

## COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

## Analytical Report

Client:

Roy F Weston, Inc

Project:

Duwamish River/4000-027-001-2019-38

Sample Matrix:

Sediment

Service Request: K9805617

Date Collected: 8/19/98 Date Received: 8/20/98

# Organochlorine Pesticides

Sample Name

98344065

Lab Code

K9805617-008

Units ug/Kg (ppb) Basis Dry

Test Notes

	Prep	Analysis		Dilution	Date	Date		Result
Analyte	Method	Method	MRL	Factor	Extracted	Analyzed	Result	Notes
alpha-BHC	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/21/98	9/9/98	ND	
beta-BHC	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/21/98	9/9/98	ND	
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/21/98	9/9/98	NDIUJ	
Heptachlor	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/21/98	9/9/98	NDIUJ	
Aldrin	EPA 3550A	8081A	1	1	8/21/98	9/9/98	ND , UJ	
Heptachlor Epoxide	EPA 3550A	8081A	10	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND 10UI	Þ
gamma-Chlordane	EPA 3550A	8081A	10	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND IOUI	Ð
Endosulfan I	EPA 3550A	8081A	10	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND 10UI	Ø
alpha-Chlordane	EPA 3550A	8081A	25	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND ZSU	C P
Dieldrin	EPA 3550A	8081A	20	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND ZOUI	J)
4,4'-DDE	EPA 3550A	8081A	15	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND ISU	. jy
Endrin	EPA 3550A	8081A	20	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND 2011	IJp
Endosulfan II	EPA 3550A	8081A	110	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND HOW	ΓÞ
4,4'-DDD	EPA 3550A	8081A	20	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND 20 W	Þ
Endrın Aldehyde	EPA 3550A	8081A	20	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND WUI	Þ
Endosulfan Sulfate	EPA 3550A	8081A	20	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND WU	r jor
4,4'-DDT	EPA 3550A	8081A	40	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND 400	ΙÞ
Endrin Ketone	EPA 3550A	8081A	20	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND 2011	τ´p·
Methoxychlor	EPA 3550A	8081A	10	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	NDIOUI	Ø
Toxaphene	EPA 3550A	8081A	100	10	8/21/98	9/10/98	ND 104I	Þ

D

The MRL is elevated because of matrix interferences and because the sample required diluting

Approved By

05617SVG WNI - 8 9/15/98

1S22/020597p

Date 9-18-98

0.0.022